

Translation

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

521001

PCT/EP2003/007413



Applicant's or agent's file reference P134002PC-Gu	FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)	
International application No. PCT/EP2003/007413	International filing date (day/month/year) 09 July 2003 (09.07.2003)	Priority date (day/month/year) 09 July 2002 (09.07.2002)
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC C05F 3/00		
Applicant KUMMER, Andreas		

<p>1. This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.</p> <p>2. This REPORT consists of a total of <u>4</u> sheets, including this cover sheet.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).</p> <p>These annexes consist of a total of _____ sheets.</p>
<p>3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:</p> <p>I <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Basis of the report</p> <p>II <input type="checkbox"/> Priority</p> <p>III <input type="checkbox"/> Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability</p> <p>IV <input type="checkbox"/> Lack of unity of invention</p> <p>V <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement</p> <p>VI <input type="checkbox"/> Certain documents cited</p> <p>VII <input type="checkbox"/> Certain defects in the international application</p> <p>VIII <input type="checkbox"/> Certain observations on the international application</p>

Date of submission of the demand 09 February 2004 (09.02.2004)	Date of completion of this report 22 November 2004 (22.11.2004)
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP	Authorized officer
Facsimile No.	Telephone No.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP2003/007413

I. Basis of the report

1. With regard to the elements of the international application:*

- ☐ the international application as originally filed
- ☒ the description:
pages _____ 1-16 _____, as originally filed
pages _____, filed with the demand
pages _____, filed with the letter of _____
- ☒ the claims:
pages _____ 1-47 _____, as originally filed
pages _____, as amended (together with any statement under Article 19
pages _____, filed with the demand
pages _____, filed with the letter of _____
- ☐ the drawings:
pages _____, as originally filed
pages _____, filed with the demand
pages _____, filed with the letter of _____
- ☐ the sequence listing part of the description:
pages _____, as originally filed
pages _____, filed with the demand
pages _____, filed with the letter of _____

2. With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language _____ which is:

- ☐ the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).
- ☐ the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).
- ☐ the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3).

3. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:

- ☐ contained in the international application in written form.
- ☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
- ☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.
- ☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.
- ☐ The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.
- ☐ The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.

4. ☐ The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:

- ☐ the description, pages _____
- ☐ the claims, Nos. _____
- ☐ the drawings, sheets/fig _____

5. ☐ This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**

* Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rule 70.16 and 70.17).

** Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement**1. Statement**

Novelty (N)	Claims	6-9, 19-21, 27-30, 40-42	YES
	Claims	1-5, 10-18, 22-26, 31-39, 43-46	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims		YES
	Claims	1-47	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-47	YES
	Claims		NO

2. Citations and explanations

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: FR-A-2 444 015 (LEGRIX GEORGES) 11 June 1980 (1980-07-11)

D2: FR-A-2 166 271 (SPEICHIM) 17 August 1973 (1973-08-17)

D3: EP-A-0 291 652 (BAUMGARTNER EUGEN) 23 November 1988 (1988-11-23)

The present application does not satisfy the requirements of PCT Article 33(1) because the subject matter of claims 1-5, 10-18, 22-26, 31-39 and 43-46 is not novel within the meaning of PCT Article 33(2).

Document D1 discloses a method for producing a spreadable natural fertilizer from horse manure wherein the horse dung is collected, pulverized and dried (see claims 1-5). In view of this document, the subject matter of claims 1-5, 10-18, 22-26, 31-39 and 43-46 is not considered novel.

Document D2 (see page 1, lines 18-31; claims) discloses a method for producing a horse manure-based natural fertilizer wherein the horse manure is sieved, pulverized and dried. In view of this document, the subject matter of claims 1-5, 10-18, 22-26, 31-39 and 43-46 is not considered novel.

Document D3 (see claims) describes a natural fertilizer consisting of formed and dried horse dropping material. In view of this document, the subject matter of claims 43 to 46 is not considered novel.

The subject matter of claims 6-9, 19-21, 27-30 and 40-42 relates merely to preferred embodiments of the subject matter of claim 1 that either are known (D3 to D6) or fall within the scope of expert activity of a person skilled in the art. The subject matter of said claims thus does not involve an inventive step (PCT Article 33(3)).